Becoming a Weather-Ready Nation is about building community resiliency in the face of increasing vulnerability to extreme weather.

“Ready, Responsive, Resilient”

REQUIRES NWS TO:

- Fully Integrate our Field Structure:
  - Better Forecasts and Warnings
  - Ensure Consistent Products and Services
- Provide Impact-based Decision Support Services (IDSS)
- Deliver through Multiple and Reliable Dissemination Pathways
- Work with Partners to gain needed response; includes embedding NWS in Emergency Operations Centers

Involves entire US Weather Enterprise WORKING TOGETHER to achieve far-reaching national preparedness for weather events.
NWS Strategic Outcome: Weather-Ready Nation

NWS Strategic Goals

• Improve *Weather* Impact-Based Decision Support Services

• Improve *Water* Forecasting Services

• Enhance *Climate* Services and adapt to climate-related risks

• Improve sector-relevant information in support of *economic productivity*

• Enable environmental forecast services supporting *healthy communities and ecosystems*

• Sustain a highly skilled, professional *workforce* equipped with training, tools, and infrastructure to meet mission

*Operational numerical guidance:*

Foundational tools to used to improve public safety, quality of life and make business decisions that drive U.S. economic growth

*Prediction is what makes NOAA/NWS unique and indispensable!*
Seamless Suite of Operational Numerical Guidance Systems

Spanning Weather and Climate

- North American Ensemble Forecast System
- Climate Forecast System
- North American Mesoscale
- Short-Range Ensemble
- Global Ensemble Forecast System
- Global Forecast System
- Global Dust
- Global Ocean
- Waves
- Rapid Refresh
- Space Weather
- Global Dust
- Fire Wx
- Regional Hurricane
- Storm Surge
- Gradient (smoke)
- Dispersion (smoke)
- HRRR
- Air Quality
- Environment

Benefits
- Life & Property
- Aviation
- Maritime
- Space Operations
- Energy Planning
- Agriculture
- Recreation
- Ecosystem
- Health
- Environment
- Weather
- Whole Atmosphere
- NMME
- NSWPS
- WRF-HYDRO

Forecast Lead Time
- Minutes
- Hours
- Days
- 1 Week
- 2 Week
- Months
- Seasons
- Years

Forecast Uncertainty

Outlook
Guidance
Threats Assessments
Forecasts
Watches
Warnings & Alert Coordination
Mapping NOAA Projects with Modeling into the Weather Ready Nation Goals

- Climate Modeling and Prediction
- National Earth System Prediction Capability
- North American Multi-Model Ensemble
- Next Generation Global Prediction System
- Hurricane Forecast Improvement Project
- Hi-Impact Weather Prediction Project
- Warn on Forecast
- Storm Surge Roadmap
- National Air Quality Forecast Capability
- Tsunami Modeling and Research
- Space Weather Modeling
- Ecological Forecasting
- Integrated Water Resources Science and Services

NWS Strategic Goals

• Improve **Weather** Impact-Based Decision Support Services
• Improve **Water** Forecasting Services
• Enhance **Climate** Services and adapt to climate-related risks
• Improve sector-relevant information in support of **economic productivity**
• Enable environmental forecast services supporting **healthy communities and ecosystems**
• Sustain a highly skilled, professional **workforce** equipped with training, tools, and infrastructure to meet mission

Sector- Relevant = Energy, Transportation, Agriculture, Coastal
First time with NOAA model developers, customers and external subject matter experts on modeling in the same room.

Outcome: a set of recommendations that will be used to build a strategy to evolve the NPS over the next 5-10 years.

UMAC outcomes will inform:
- The integrated NOAA modeling strategy
- Priorities for NOAA funded research in modeling across line offices
  - AO’s will allow external participation in research and development
  - Joint projects with NOAA scientists will be encouraged
  - External community becomes part of the development team
  - Involved in test plan development, execution and analysis (HWRF)
- Enable NOAA to have a robust modeling program designed to meet agency mission

Allows NOAA to step back and assess strategic evolution of the production suite.
Meeting 4-7 August 2015 in College Park
90 Participants across the community
Preliminary findings and recommendations briefed to NOAA leadership
Report to be published by the end of October 2015
Materials available at:
https://www.earthsystemcog.org/projects/umac_model_advisory/
## NOAA Operational System Attributes

### Dispersion Modeling

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System Name</th>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Areal Coverage</th>
<th>Horz Res</th>
<th>Cycle Freq</th>
<th>Fcst Length</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Volcanic ash</td>
<td>HYSPLIT</td>
<td>Global</td>
<td>0.1 deg.</td>
<td>OD*</td>
<td>48 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional Specialized Meteorological Center</td>
<td>RSMC</td>
<td>Global</td>
<td>0.3 deg.</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>72 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dust</td>
<td>HYSPLIT</td>
<td>CONUS</td>
<td>0.1 deg.</td>
<td>6; 12z</td>
<td>48 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoke</td>
<td>HYSPLIT</td>
<td>CONUS; HI; AK</td>
<td>0.15; 0.05; 0.10 deg.</td>
<td>24 h</td>
<td>48 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WFO-HAZMAT /canned</td>
<td>HYSPLIT</td>
<td>CONUS</td>
<td>0.005 deg.</td>
<td>OD/ 6 h</td>
<td>16 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comprehensive Test Ban Treaty Organization</td>
<td>CTBTO</td>
<td>Global</td>
<td>1 deg.</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>10-15 days</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Air Quality: National AQ Forecasting Capability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System Name</th>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Areal Coverage</th>
<th>Horz Res</th>
<th>Cycle Freq</th>
<th>Fcst Length</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North American Mesoscale (meteorology)</td>
<td>NAM</td>
<td>North America</td>
<td>12 km</td>
<td>6 hrly</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEMS GFS Aerosol Component (lateral boundary conditions)</td>
<td>NGAC</td>
<td>global</td>
<td>1°x1°</td>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>5 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Multi-scale Air Quality Model (CMAQ)</td>
<td>AQM</td>
<td>CONUS; AK; HI</td>
<td>12 km</td>
<td>6 hrly</td>
<td>48 (6Z, 12Z)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Why Systems are Operational

Dispersion Systems:

» Primary stakeholders and requirement drivers
  • WMO/International Atomic Energy Agency/NOAA RSMC/CTBTO MOA
  • Federal Aviation Agency (FAA)/ International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO)/Volcanic Ash Advisory Center (VAAC)
  • WFOs on demand dispersion of Hazardous Materials
  • State and local air quality forecasters and general public Air Quality Forecast System

Air Quality : National AQ Forecasting Capability Surface $O_3$ and $PM_{2.5}$

» Primary stakeholders and requirement drivers
  • State and local air quality forecasters, and the general public
UMAC Preliminary Findings and Recommendations

Date: 20150807

Key Finding

U.S. Environmental Prediction now has the potential to rapidly progress to world leadership. This requires a new level of organization and the use of evidence-driven decision making.
Difference Between Requests and Requirements

- Everyone has requests
  - from changing the size of a font
  - adjusting a formula in the database
  - the key is identifying which ones are requirements.

- To request, means to ask for something
  - It could be something you need, want, or just feel like asking for
  - I request help carrying my bags

- To require, means you need something
  - I require oxygen to live

- functional requirements: What the system is supposed to do

- operational requirements: These are about how to run the system.

- technical requirements: These are about how the system is built.
2. Transition to Evidence-Driven Decision Making.

One of the most striking observations of the committee is that the NOAA environmental modeling community lacks a rational, evidence-driven approach towards decision-making and modeling system development. The NGGPS effort is a good start in this direction.

Major new modeling initiatives (new distributed hydrological systems, additional rapid refresh implementations) must be evaluated for value to NOAA mission requirements before being made operational.
Modeling CONUS & O-CONUS: Expensive and Requires Nesting…

**North American Model (NAM)**
- Runs 4x/day
- Outer grid at 12 km to 84hr
- Multiple Nests Run to ~48hr
  - 4 km CONUS nest
  - 6 km Alaska nest
  - 3 km HI & PR nests
  - 1.3km DHS/FireWeather/IMET

**Rapid Refresh (RAP)**
- Runs 24x/day to 18h
- 13 km Domain includes Alaska
- 3 km HRRR runs 24x/day out to 15h

**High Res Windows (HiRESW)**
- Typically run 2x/day
- 4 km WRF-NMM
- 5.15 km WRF-ARW
- 48 hr fcsts from both

**Short Range Ensemble Forecast System**
- Runs 4x/day
- 21 members out to 72h
- 16km CONUS grids
3. Reduce the Complexity of the NCEP Production Suite

The large number of modeling systems maintained by NCEP is overwhelming NCEP personnel, computer resources and stakeholders. It greatly reduces the ability of individual NCEP modeling systems to achieve excellence. A strategy for the phasing out of obsolescent models needs to be put in place.

*NCEP has committed itself to one global atmospheric modeling system. It should make a similar commitment to only one regional atmospheric model. In the longer term, regional rapid refresh, hurricane, convection-allowing ensembles, and data assimilation should migrate towards one system.*
BACKUP SLIDES
Air Quality: National AQ Forecasting Capability
surface $O_3$ and $PM_{2.5}$
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### Operational System Attribute(s)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System Name</th>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Areal Coverage</th>
<th>Horz Res</th>
<th>Cycle Freq</th>
<th>Fcst Length (hr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North American Mesoscale (meteorology)</td>
<td>NAM</td>
<td>North America</td>
<td>12 km</td>
<td>6 hrly</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEMS GFS Aerosol Component (lateral boundary conditions)</td>
<td>NGAC</td>
<td>global</td>
<td>1°x1°</td>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>5 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Multi-scale Air Quality Model (CMAQ)</td>
<td>AQM</td>
<td>CONUS; AK; HI</td>
<td>12 km</td>
<td>6 hrly</td>
<td>48 (6Z, 12Z)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### System Data Assimilation or Initialization Technique

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>Attributes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AQM</td>
<td>Previous cycle model forecast provides the initial condition. Developmental predictions of particulate matter smaller than 2.5 µm in diameter (PM$<em>{2.5}$) are produced by this same operational system comprised of NAM and CMAQ model. Satellite observations constrain wildfire locations for smoke emissions. Bias correction of PM$</em>{2.5}$ predictions using surface AIRNow PM$_{2.5}$ observations is in testing.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Why System(s) are Operational

- Primary stakeholders and requirement drivers
  - State and local air quality forecasters, and the general public

- What products are the models contributing to?
  - AQ forecasts and alerts from local and state AQ forecasters

- What product aspects are you trying to improve with your development plans?
  - Up-to-date emissions (projections, smoke and dust)
  - Forecast initialization (data assimilation)
  - Refine resolution of predictions (horizontal for products, vertical to improve linkage with global models)

- Top 3 System Performance Strengths
  - Accuracy of surface $O_3$ forecast guidance
  - Timeliness and availability
  - Emerging developmental $PM_{2.5}$ forecast capability

- Top 3 System Performance Challenges
  - Small-scale features (e.g., lake breezes) need finer resolution
  - Lag in emission inventory availability, need for up-to-date data
  - Quantitative prediction of $PM_{2.5}$
System Evolution
Over the Next 5 Years

- **Major forcing factors**
  - EPA National Ambient AQ Standards (NAAQS) are being tightened
  - Importance of characterizing global pollutant contributions to air quality as U.S. emissions decrease

- **Science and development priorities**
  - Improved PM$_{2.5}$ emissions and modeling (e.g., Secondary Organic Aerosols (SOA))
  - Refine resolution (inline CMAQ with weather modeling in NEMS)
  - Data assimilation: initialization and emission constraints

- **What are your top challenges to evolving the system(s) to meet stakeholder requirements?**
  - Inline CMAQ for fine resolution forecast to capture large gradients
  - Reduce seasonal biases (e.g. SOA formation and loss mechanisms)
  - Dynamic boundary condition for gaseous and aerosol species

- **Potential opportunities for simplification going forward**
  - Unify smoke and dust emissions between HYSPLIT & CMAQ.
  - Coordination of composition modeling between the global model and CMAQ to improve their linkage through boundary conditions
Top 3 Things You Need From the UMAC

#1 Recommend the complexity of aerosol and gaseous composition representation in the global model that is necessary to provide lateral boundary conditions for successful prediction of air quality for the U.S.

#2 Recommend the granularity of inlining for CMAQ to allow flexibility of its coupling to evolving earth modeling system capability and compatibility with the EPA-maintained CMAQ community code (e.g., Cloud processes and aqueous chemistry).

#3 Recommendation on chemical data assimilation priorities and ways of maintaining the impact from assimilation of observations into the following days’ air quality predictions.
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### Operational System Attribute(s)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System Name</th>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Areal Coverage</th>
<th>Horz Res</th>
<th>Cycle Freq</th>
<th>Fcst Length</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Volcanic ash</td>
<td>HYSPLIT</td>
<td>Global</td>
<td>0.1 deg.</td>
<td>OD*</td>
<td>48 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional Specialized Meteorological Center</td>
<td>RSMC</td>
<td>Global</td>
<td>0.3 deg.</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>72 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dust</td>
<td>HYSPLIT</td>
<td>CONUS</td>
<td>0.1 deg.</td>
<td>6; 12z</td>
<td>48 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoke</td>
<td>HYSPLIT</td>
<td>CONUS; HI; AK</td>
<td>0.15; 0.05; 0.10 deg.</td>
<td>24 h</td>
<td>48 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WFO-HAZMAT /canned</td>
<td>HYSPLIT</td>
<td>CONUS</td>
<td>0.005 deg.</td>
<td>OD/6 h</td>
<td>16 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comprehensive Test Ban Treaty Organization</td>
<td>CTBTO</td>
<td>Global</td>
<td>1 deg.</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>10-15 days</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**System Data Assimilation or Initialization Technique**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>Attributes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Smoke and Dust</td>
<td>Lagrangian particle attributes initialized from last forecast. Emission initialization from visible satellite (e.g. Hazardous Mapping System).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volcanic Ash, RSMC, WFO-HAZMAT</td>
<td>Source location and strength (eruption height for volcano) provided by requester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTBTO</td>
<td>Receptor location provided by requester</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* OD: on demand/Emergency Response
Primary stakeholders and requirement drivers

- WMO/International Atomic Energy Agency/NOAA RSMC/CTBTO MOA
- Federal Aviation Agency (FAA)/International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO)/Volcanic Ash Advisory Center (VAAC)
- WFOs on demand dispersion of Hazardous Materials
- State and local air quality forecasters and general public Air Quality Forecast System

What product aspects are you trying to improve with your development plans?

- Provide uncertainty to modeled pollutant concentrations
- Improve model accuracy

Top 3 System Performance Strengths

- Only one HYSPLIT executable and library for all applications
- Very low computational demand (2-7 min. for OD runs)
- Lagrangian approach parallelized naturally. Can perform runs independently of each other and add the outputs.

Top 3 System Performance Challenges

- Need to continuously keep up with changes in operational meteorological datasets
- Scarcity of verification data
- Big uncertainty in real-time source terms
System Evolution
Over the Next 5 Years

- Major forcing factors
  - Stakeholders demand more accurate predictions with additional information about model uncertainty for dispersion applications

- Science and development priorities
  - Ensemble modeling (e.g. using SREF)
  - Increase resolution using the HRRR or NAM CONUS nest
  - In-lining with NWS meteorological models
  - Data assimilation of satellite and ground measurements
  - Implement Transfer Coefficients Matrix (TCM) method for varying initial source terms

- What are your top challenges to evolving the system(s) to meet stakeholder requirements?
  - Yearly frequency of implementations and the length of pre-operational testing delay implementation of model updates
  - Will require NWS technical and scientific support for transition to operations

- Potential opportunities for simplification going forward
  - Explore direct availability of meteorological variables relevant to dispersion
  - Consolidation into fewer meteorological models will help to simplify pre-processing and data flow.
Top 3 Things You Need From the UMAC

1. Facilitate flow of scientific ideas and information between dispersion and weather modelers (e.g. meteorological variables more relevant for dispersion applications; ensemble model design).

2. Enable better integration of planning for dispersion services with the rest of weather service and modeling planning.